# 9<sup>TH</sup> School Alternative Site Study

#### **UPDATE TO THE SCHOOL COMMITTEE**

**MARCH 8, 2018** 

#### TENTATIVE UPCOMING MEETING SCHEDULE MARCH 8<sup>TH</sup> TO APRIL 12, 2018

# **TENTATIVE DATES**

- Thursday, March 29, 2018 Joint Meeting of Select Board and School Committee: HMFH Final Report on Phase 1 Options studied and evaluated
- Week of April 2<sup>nd</sup> Public Hearing: HMFH Final Report on Phase 1 Options studied and evaluated
- Week of April 9<sup>th</sup> Joint Meeting of Select Board and School Committee to discuss and evaluate the 12 proposed sites with the intent of identifying a preferred option(s) to move into Phase 2 ~ Feasibility Design

# 9<sup>TH</sup> School Alternative Site Study 12 Site Options Still Under Consideration

- Pierce School
- Pierce School
- Pierce School
- Baker School
- Baker School
- Baker School
- Driscoll School
- Heath School
- Putterham
- Pine Manor
- Baldwin North
- Baldwin Plan D

K5 / 6,7,8 5 section school New 3/3 section schools K5 / 6,7,8 5 section school 3 Reno/3 New section schools 4 section school/with reno 4 section school/with reno 3+ section new school 3+ section new school 3+ section new school 3+ section new school



## **PUTTERHAM WOODS – ARTICLE 97**

If our initial investigation of this parcel of land indicates it has potential, it would be our intent to work with all local and state agencies to fully comply with the Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs' (EEA).

Article 97 Land Disposition Policy

\*Goal "No Net Loss of Article 97 land"

## Putterham Woods Site Option Process March 1<sup>st</sup> to March 25th

 HMFH will develop concept layouts, working with: Klopfer-Martin Design Group (KMDG) and Vanasse and Associates – Traffic Study Specialists (VAI)

#### **Tentative Schedule**

- March 7 On-Site Assessment
- March 15 Preliminary Concept Sketch Options
- March 22 Revised and Further Developed Concept options reviewed at weekly work sessions
- March 29 HMFH Presents Putterham Concept options along with 11 9<sup>th</sup> School alternative Site Options to Joint Meeting of Select Board and School Committee

# 9<sup>TH</sup> School Alternative Site Study Site Evaluation Criteria -1/2

#### • 1. SUPPORTS EDUCATIONAL PLAN

- 1.1 Proposal addresses interest of avoiding large school design
- 1.2 Addresses right-sizing needs for all instructional areas within this site
- 1.3 Design option allows K-8 structure to expand to a PK-8
- 1.4 Provides additional classrooms to maintain average class size at 21
- 1.5 Site will allow sufficient outdoor space for physical activity
- 1.6 Equity of instructional learning spaces within this site (new vs. existing)

### • 2. TRAFFIC, PEDESTRIAN AND PARKING CONDITIONS

- 2.1 Provides on-site drop-off / pick-up queuing
- 2.2 On-site bus access
- 2.3 Sufficient on-site parking and/or parking plan available
- 2.4 Separate vehicular traffic from pedestrian traffic and play space
- 2.5

# 9<sup>TH</sup> School Alternative Site Study Site Evaluation Criteria – 3

• 3. OTHER REQUIREMENTS

- 3.1 Provides overall student safety on school grounds
- 3.2 Fully addresses need to right-size school site
- 3.3 Available acreage sufficient for project scope
- 3.4 Access roads available
- 3.5 Site creates a positive school environment
- 3.6 Ability to satisfy projected school capacity needs
- 3.7 Degree to which total expansion need is fulfilled by this option

# 9<sup>TH</sup> School Alternative Site Study Site Evaluation Criteria – 4

# • 4. PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF SITE

- 4.1 Site able to accommodate 3+ school design
- 4.2 Community access to indoor and outdoor resources
- 4.3 Traffic impact to neighborhood streets
- 4.4 Proximity to bike-accessible infrastructure
- 4.5 Proposed playground space per child compared to Town average
- 4.6 Impact on existing playground sq. footage current vs. projected
- 4.7 Impact on existing passive or active open space current vs. projected

# 9<sup>TH</sup> School Alternative Site Study Site Evaluation Criteria – 5

- 5. CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULING AND COST FACTORS
  - 5.1 Requires "Swing Space"
  - 5.2 Wetland and other environmental complexities
  - 5.3 Site requires property acquisition
  - 5.4 Premium site costs required (topography, retaining walls, roadways)
  - 5.5 Construction process complexity
  - 5.6 Potential Article 97 Disposition necessary
  - 5.7 Permitting and Zoning complexities
  - 5.8 Estimated Project costs:
    - Property acquisition cost
    - Swing space cost
    - New construction cost
    - Renovation cost
    - Operational costs
    - TOTAL COST

# MSBA STATEMENT OF INTEREST (SOI)

- 2 Types of SOI's
- Accelerated Repair Program SOI Repair and/or replacement of roofs, windows/doors and/or boilers
- Core Program SOI -Friday, April 6, 2018 at 11:59 p.m. is the SOI closing date for districts submitting for consideration in the Core Program, which is for projects beyond the scope of Accelerated Repair Program, including:
  - Extensive Repairs
  - **Kenovations**
  - Additions / Renovations
  - New School Construction

# SUGGESTED 2018 SOI SUBMISSIONS × PIERCE SCHOOL (PRIORITY PROJECT) × DRISCOLL SCHOOL

OPTION:	COMMENTS / O	OBSERVATIONS	IS THE REQUIREMENT MET?	ADVANTAGEOUS ADEQUATE LESS ADVANTAGEOUS	+ 0 s -
MINIMUM SITE EVALUATION REQUIREMENTS	SITE ADVANTAGES TO CONSIDER	SITE CHALLENGES TO CONSIDER	Check Box if Yes	EVALUATION	J
1. SUPPORTS EDUCATIONAL PLAN					
1.1 Proposal addresses interest of avoiding large school design					
1.2 Addresses right-sizing needs for all instructional areas within this site					
1.3 Design option allows K-8 grade structure to expand to a PK-8					
1.4 Provides additional classrooms to maintain average class size at 21					
1.5 Site will allow sufficient outdoor space for physical activity					
1.6 Equity of instructional learning spaces within this site (new vs. existing)					
1.7					
2. TRAFFIC, PEDESTRIAN AND PARKING CONDITIONS					
2.1 Provides on-site drop-off / pick-up queuing					
2.2 On-site bus access					
2.3 Sufficient on-site parking and/or Parking plan available					
2.4 Separates vehicular traffic from pedestrian traffic and play space					
2.5					
3. OTHER REQUIREMENTS					
3.1 Provides overall student safety on school grounds					
3.2 Fully addresses need to right-size school site					
3.3 Available acreage sufficient for project scope					
3.4 Access roads available					
3.5 Site creates a positive school environment					
3.6 Ability to satisfy projected school capacity needs					
3.7 Degree to which total expansion need is fulfilled by this option				<u> </u>	
OPTION:	COMMENTS / C	OBSERVATIONS	IS THE REQUIREMENT MET?	ADVANTAGEOUS ADEQUATE LESS ADVANTAGEOUS	+ 0 s -

MINIMUM SITE EVALUATION REQUIREMENTS	SITE ADVANTAGES TO CONSIDER	SITE CHALLENGES TO CONSIDER	Check Box if Yes	EVALUATION
4. PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF SITE		1		
4.1 Site able to accommodate 3+ school design				
4.2 Community access to indoor and outdoor resources				
4.3 Traffic impact to neighborhood streets				
4.4 Proximity to bike-accessible infrastructure				
4.5 Proposed playground space per child compared to Town average				
4.6 Impact on existing playground sq. footage - current vs. projected				
4.7 Impact on existing passive or active open space - current vs. projected				
4.8				
5. CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULING RISK AND COST FACTORS				
5.1 Requires "Swing Space"				
5.2 Wetland and other environmental complexities				
5.3 Site requires property acquisition				
5.4 Premium site costs required (topography, retaining walls, roadways)				
5.5 Construction process complexity				
5.6 Potential Article 97 Disposition necessary				
5.7 Permitting and Zoning complexities				
5.8 Estimated Project Costs				
Property Acquisition Costs				
Swing space cost				
New construction cost				
Renovation cost				
Operational costs				
TOTAL COST				